

# DuaneMorris®

NEW YORK  
LONDON  
SINGAPORE  
PHILADELPHIA  
CHICAGO  
WASHINGTON, DC  
SAN FRANCISCO  
SILICON VALLEY  
SAN DIEGO  
LOS ANGELES  
BOSTON  
HOUSTON  
DALLAS  
AUSTIN  
HANOI  
HO CHI MINH CITY

*FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES*

STEVEN M. COWLEY  
DIRECT DIAL: +1 857 488 4261  
PERSONAL FAX: +1 857 401 3090  
E-MAIL: SMCowley@duanemorris.com

[www.duanemorris.com](http://www.duanemorris.com)

SHANGHAI  
ATLANTA  
BALTIMORE  
WILMINGTON  
MIAMI  
BOCA RATON  
PITTSBURGH  
NEWARK  
LAS VEGAS  
CHERRY HILL  
LAKE TAHOE  
MYANMAR

ALLIANCES IN MEXICO  
AND SRI LANKA

October 29, 2021

**VIA ECF**

Honorable James L. Cott  
United States Magistrate Judge, S.D.N.Y.  
500 Pearl Street, Room 1360  
United States Court House  
New York, NY 10007

**Re: *Tom Hussey Photography, LLC v. BDG Media, Inc.***  
**C.A. No. 21-cv-03514-AT-JC**

Dear Judge Cott:

On behalf of plaintiff Tom Hussey Photography, LLC (“THP”), and pursuant to Section II A of your Individual Practices, I write to request leave to replace Plaintiff’s letter in opposition to the relief requested in the letter-motion submitted by defendant BDG Media, Inc. (“BDG”), filed on the October 28, 2021 (ECF Doc. 65) that is seven pages long with the five page opposition letter enclosed as Exhibit A.

In support of this request, undersigned counsel states that I did not identify the five-page limit on letters to the Court in Section I of your Individual Practices when reviewing the procedures applicable to letter motions in Section II. Shortly after filing the initial version of Plaintiff’s letter opposition, a colleague pointed out that provision and I prepared a revised five-page version of the same opposition arguments. No new issues or arguments are raised in the version Plaintiff seeks leave to file to replace the initial version.

Pursuant to Section II B of your Individual Practices, I request that your Honor waive the pre-motion conference requirement for this request, on the grounds that the purpose of this immediate request is to correct an initial filing error without causing delay and without imposing any burden on the Court or opposing counsel to take any action based on the initial version of the letter opposition if it will be inoperative. The time necessary for a pre-motion conference would defeat those purposes, and the parties are not in a position to agree among themselves that the

Honorable James L. Cott  
October 29, 2021

DuaneMorris

Court accept a replacement filing, so this letter motion would be required regardless of a conference.

I apologize for the initial filing error and request that the Court permit Plaintiff to correct it.

Sincerely,

*/s/ Steven M. Cowley*

cc: All Counsel of Record (via ECF)